UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,609	02/14/2005	Gabriels E. Joseph Jr.	MCA-614 US	9978
	7590 03/18/200 ORPORATION	EXAMINER		
290 CONCORI	O ROAD		LIU, SUE XU	
BILLERICA, M	4A 01821		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/524,609	JOSEPH JR. ET	JOSEPH JR. ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SUE LIU	1639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı <i>12/18/</i> 08					
·= · · · - · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
,		tters prosecution as to the	e merits is			
,—) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
· 	ding in the application					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) <u>1-3,16,17 and 20-38</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	thatawn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	not to restriction and/or election	roquiromont				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 16, 17 and 20-38</u> are subj	ect to restriction and/or election	rrequirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/524,609 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

1. Claims 4-15, 18 and 19 have been cancelled.

Claims 21-38 have been newly added.

Claims 1-3, 16, 17 and 20-38 are currently pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicants have amended the pending claims and added new claims that are drawn to a number of distinct species that were not presented before the first Office action on the merit. See MPEP 818.02(b) Generic Claims Only — No Election of Species:

"Where only generic claims are first presented and prosecuted in an application in which no election of a single invention has been made, and applicant later presents species claims to more than one >patentably distinct< species of the invention, **>the examiner may require applicant to elect< a single species. The practice of requiring election of species in cases with only generic claims of the unduly extensive and burdensome search type is set forth in MPEP § 808.01(a)."

Species Election

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicants are requested to further elect a single ultimate species for <u>each</u> of the following:

- a. A single specific selection of a method of adding the guanidine wash solution either "prior to" **OR** "after" the addition of the sequencing reaction product. (see claims 23 and 24).
- b. A single specific ultrafiltration membrane with a specific molecular cutoff. (see claims 26 and 27).
- c. A single specific species of guanidine contained in the solution. (see claims 29, 30, 36 and 37).
- d. A single specific selection of a method either with <u>OR</u> without the step of "resuspending" the "purified DNA sequencing reaction product". (see claim 33).
- e. If applicants elect a method with "resuspending", applicants are further requested to elect a single specific selection of a method either with **OR** without the step of "transferring" the "resuspended DNA sequencing reaction product". (see claim 33).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structure and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. For different species of method, the method steps for each species would differ. Moreover, the above species can be separately classified. Consequently, the species have

Art Unit: 1639

different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter. Thus the

unity of invention between each species subgroup is lacking.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Please see the above species selection for correspondence between the claims and the species

selection.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-3, 16, 17 and 20-38.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special

technical features for the following reasons: The species are distinct, each from the other

Art Unit: 1639

structurally and functionally, because their modes of action are different. Therefore, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentable distinct subject matter.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue Liu whose telephone number is 571-272-5539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached at 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,609 Page 6

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/SUE LIU/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1639

3/12/09